Allocation of Community Based Service Funds for SFY 08

I. Two Primary Categories of Allocations:

- 1. Continuation Funds
- 2. Expansion Funds

II. Unique Funding and Allocation Challenges for SFY 08:

- 1. Need to identify approximately \$20m in community program funds for realignment to full the LME Systems Management cost.
- 2. Reduction/realignment of approximately \$30.1m in community funds as follows:
 - (a) \$2.3m in recurring reduction;
 - (b) \$4.5m in reductions which were rebudgeted to DMA to support 300 additional CAP-MR/DD slots;
 - (c) \$23.3m reduction in recurring community funding which was redirected into SFY 08 expansion funding.
- 3. Ensure that no LME receives less continuation funding than it expended in SFY 07.

III. Continuation funds were allocated as follows to ensure that each LME received at least the amount of recurring funds they expended in SFY 07:

- 1. Determined how much each LME spent in SFY 07.
- 2. Expenditure amount was reduced by the amount expended from non-recurring funds sources such as Mental Health Trust Fund.
- 3. Expenditures were increased to annualize recurring allocations made for less than twelve (12) months in SFY 07.
- 4. In cases where LMEs did not expend the full amount of their crisis funds in SFY 07, amounts were increased to ensure each LME received the same amount of crisis continuation funds as they had in SFY 07 regardless of their SFY 07 crisis expenditure level.
- 5. In cases where Cross Area Service Programs (CASP) did not expend the full amount in SFY 07, amounts were increased to ensure each LME received the same amount of CASP continuation funds as they had in SFY 07 regardless of their CASP expenditure level. CASP funds are those which are allocated to a single LME but the CASP program provides service coverage on a regional or statewide basis.
- 6. The resulting amount from above then became each LME's SFY 08 allocation of continuation service funds.
- 7. Single Stream funding sites were reduced to 90% of allocation, unless the LME was not a Single Stream LME in 2007 and their documented level of expenditures were higher.

IV. Expansion funds earmarked for community based services are set forth in the following attachments:

Attachment I: Reflects amount of expansion funds, purpose and distribution methodology.

Attachment II: Reflects the distribution of expansion funds for SFY 08.